

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No. 09/727,908	Applicant(s) JOHNSON ET AL.	
	Examiner Arezoo Sherkat	Art Unit 2131	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** After Final

 (1) Arezoo Sherkat.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Thomas M. Isaacson.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 11 July 2005
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: During a contact to Applicant's representative to check the status of the application, it is noticed that the statutory period on the Advisory Action has been mistyped. Therefore, a Supplementary Advisory Action is formulated and made part of the record without restarting the statutory period of the application. .